

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/620,740	SHIBER, SAMUEL	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Michael Apanius	3736	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(1) Michael Apanius.

(3) Max Hindenburg.

(2) Samuel Shiber.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 17 May 2006

**Time:** 10:30am

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

11, 12 and 14

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Proposed amendments were discussed in an effort to correct minor informalities and place the instant application in condition for allowance. The amendments that have been agreed to are made via the Examiner's Amendment of Paper No. 05172006*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)